



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/668,068	09/25/2000	Mitsuaki Oshima	2000_1309	1797	
	7590 05/22/2003				
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack			EXAMINER		
2033 K Street Suite 800			LE, AMANDA T		
Washington, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2634	23	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
•			OSHIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	09/668,068		Art Unit				
omee rieden cummary	Examiner	_					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Amanda T L		2634 orrespondence address				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	March 2003 .		justi e				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ TI	his action is no	on-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
,	Claim(s) 19-24 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-24</u> is/are rejected.						
	or election rec	uirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 March 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner,							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/668,068

Art Unit: 2634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farias et al (US patent no. 4,891,806, submitted with IDS filed on 09/25/00).

Farias et al discloses a system comprising the following claimed limitations: "first data stream" (SECONDARY CHANNEL DATA), "second data stream" (MAIN CHANNEL DATA), "trellis encoder" (Fig. 2, element 118, Fig. 8, col. 14, lines 47-52), "modulator" (Fig. 2, element 130), "without being trellis encoded" (col. 10, lines 55-58), "m-level modulated signal, n-level modulated signal, n is larger than m" (Fig. 1, elements 4, 5, col. 6, lines 52-58), "first data stream has synchronization data" (Abstract, lines 15-16), "a transmitter" (Fig. 1, element 3), "a demodulator" (Fig. 10, element 188, 190), "the demodulated data stream is reproduced

**L** 

Application/Control Number: 09/668,068

Art Unit: 2634

according to the synchronization data" (Fig. 10, 187, 206, col. 20, lines 30-35, col. 22, lines 54-57), "trellis decoder" (Fig. 10, element 193).

Although Farias et al fails to teach that the signal is VSB-modulated, it does suggest that any conventional signal modulation schemes can be used (col. 10, lines 9-16, 55-68). Since VSB modulation scheme is known in art at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement Farias et al's teachings using known VSB modulation technique when such modulation technique is advantageous for a particular design.

## Conclusion.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Amanda Le** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4769.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Stephen Chin**, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Application/Control Number: 09/668,068

Art Unit: 2634

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

AMANDAT. LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER